

MINUTES
FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING
April 12, 2017

Technical Members Present:

Bill Watson, City of Aztec
Jason Thomas, City of Bloomfield
Cindy Lopez, City of Farmington
Nica Westerling (Alternate), City of Farmington
Paul Brasher, NMDOT District 5
Andrew Montoya, Red Apple Transit
Fran Fillerup, San Juan County

Technical Members Absent:

David Sypher, City of Farmington

Staff Present:

Mary Holton, MPO Officer
Derrick Garcia, MPO Associate Planner
June Markle, MPO Administrative Assistant

Staff Absent:

None

Others Present:

Corey Styron, City of Farmington, PRCA
Taylor Clem, City of Farmington, PRCA
Rosa Kozub, NMDOT Govt.to Govt. Unit Supervisor
Jessy Ring, San Juan College Student
Larry Hathaway, San Juan County

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Fillerup called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

2. APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE MARCH 8, 2017 JOINT TECHNICAL COMMITTEE & NMDOT QUARTERLY MEETING

Ms. C. Lopez moved to approve the March 8, 2017 Technical Committee meeting minutes. Mr. Brasher seconded the motion. The motion to approve was passed unanimously.

3. UPDATE ON MPO WORK PROJECTS

Subject:	Update on MPO Work Projects
Prepared by:	Mary Holton, MPO Officer
Date:	March 27, 2017

BACKGROUND

- Currently, the MPO Officer is covering the MPO Planner's responsibilities. This is expected to last for at least the next three (3) + months. Because MPO staff is also currently focused on successfully completing the NMDOT's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) and meeting all obligations contracted to the MPO by NMDOT, several projects which have been discussed with the committees have been placed "on hold."
- Among these are: a new website for the MPO; a new name and new logo for the MPO; the proposed Update to the 2008 MPO Bike/Ped Plan; and, the proposed Regional Safety Plan.
- Beyond the CAP, our primary focus will be on completing the TIPs, proposing amendments to the MTP, and proposing needed amendments to the UPWP.

INFORMATION ITEM

- This is an informational item only.

DISCUSSION: Ms. Holton noted that there were no action items on this agenda for committee consideration. She also asked Committee members to note the statement that has been added to meeting agendas: "The public body may only take action on an item if it is listed for action on the publicly noticed agenda."

Ms. Holton reported that along with her role as the MPO Officer she will be covering the MPO Planner position until that position is filled. She commented that Staff will be focused on the administrative and technical work of the MPO. Due to the current lack of Staff, work projects like the website, new name/logo, proposed update to the 2008 bike plan, and the regional safety plan will be put on hold for the time being. Staff is currently working on Tip Amendment #6 to the FFY2016-2021 TIP, developing the new FFY2018-2023 TIP, and proposing needed MTP and UPWP amendments.

Mr. Fillerup asked about the need to have a safety plan in place to better qualify projects to receive HSIP funding. He said he thought a call for projects had been identified for later in 2017. Ms. Kozub said NMDOT is working with a consultant to develop an HSIP process and she could not say if a call for projects would be happening this year. She said she would follow up on this with Jessica Griffin.

Ms. Holton reported that she had identified funding over the next three federal fiscal years for a comprehensive safety plan. This assumed there would be carryover funds which are not known at this time. Additionally, there is discussion on the need for a full-time MPO Officer and funds could be needed for this position. With these considerations, she did not want to recommend the commitment of funds for a large project.

Mr. Fillerup commented that when the Technical Committee had discussed this previously, they had never recommended a scope of work. Mary replied that this was going to depend on NMDOT's safety targets and this would be touched on later in the agenda. Much of the data research had already been completed by the MPO last year.

The changes to the UPWP will also include some adjustments to anticipate federal funding. NMDOT has indicated that this will be reduced slightly for FFY2018. These changes will be presented to the Technical Committee in June.

4. 2017 SPRING TRAFFIC COUNTS

Subject:	2017 Spring Traffic Counts
Prepared by:	Derrick Garcia, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	April 4, 2017

BACKGROUND

- The MPO maintains traffic counts for over 220 locations throughout the MPO area.
- Locations are counted according to a three-year cycle and change periodically.
- Staff split the administration of the annual traffic count calendar into spring and fall iterations which began 2013.
- The last traffic count the MPO coordinated took place in August 2016.
- Interactive traffic count map now available on FMPO website.
(www.fmtn.org/375/MPO-Traffic-Counts)

CURRENT WORK

- The MPO received a count list from NMDOT of approximately 90 locations to have counted in the calendar year 2017.
- Spring 2017 Count is tentatively scheduled for late April, early May.
- TRA, Inc., based in Arizona, will be the contractor conducting the counts.
- Aggregating data for trend analysis.
- Follow [this link](#) or the steps below to navigate to map of count assignment from NMDOT:
 - www.farmingtonmpo.org
 - MPO Traffic Counts
 - Click on link that says "2017 Count Assignment"

INFORMATION ITEM

- Information item only. Committee Members are asked to review map ahead of meeting in order to address issues regarding locations, construction, closures, etc.

DISCUSSION: Mr. Garcia explained that the traffic count locations and NMDOT assignments were available on the website. No spring counts have yet been scheduled but he asked the Technical Committee members to please review the locations (link noted above) and to let him know of any problems or concerns with the locations. Ms. Westerling commented that any issues with the traffic count locations for the City of Farmington would depend on the dates the counts were scheduled.

Mr. Fillerup noted that in the past an Excel listing of the traffic count locations had been included in the agenda and asked if Mr. Garcia could provide that. Mr. Garcia

said there had been some issues with the list received from NMDOT and he had not been able to put the Excel listing together in time for today's meeting. He said he could provide this list to members if desired. Mr. Garcia also stated consideration is being given to consolidating the spring and fall counts into one single larger traffic count in the fall. A single fall count would likely happen in September.

Ms. Holton reported that Staff is working with NMDOT's Traffic Division on what they need and desire. A single fall count would be done in time to ensure billing would happen by the end of the fiscal year on September 30. Mr. Garcia said if a spring count is taken it would likely be at the beginning of May.

Mr. Fillerup reported that CR 350 and connecting roads would be impacted due to the amount of work San Juan County is conducting on CR 350. He recommended that no counts be taken there until August or September. Mr. Garcia said that all the locations are on a three-year cycle, so even though there is construction on 30th Street, it is one of the locations coming up on the three-year rotation. Ms. Westerling said a September count would work for 30th Street.

Mr. Fillerup said that an Excel listing showing all the count locations together would be helpful and, if a spring count is scheduled for May, would it be possible to provide that list quickly. Mr. Garcia said he would get the list put together.

Mr. Garcia stated that speed and class counts are also taken, but there have been formatting issues and subsequent problems reporting that information to the state in a format they can use. He said that most of these issues should be corrected by the end of the year as NMDOT transitions to a cloud-based management system.

5. FFY2018-2023 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) DEVELOPMENT TRAINING

Subject:	FFY2018-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Development Training
Prepared by:	Mary Holton, MPO Officer
Date:	March 31, 2017

BACKGROUND

- The TIP is a short-term program of projects expected to be completed in the next six years.
- Projects included in the TIP must be fiscally constrained, with local funding identified.
- The TIP update process includes revising existing project information, adding new projects, and developing TIP priority lists.
- You are reminded to submit projects using the appropriate form and ensure that your project is already prioritized in the MTP.
- A Call for Projects was issued on February 27, 2017 to start the TIP update process.
- The Project Review Subcommittee will be made up of three (3) committee

members. Members have included: Policy Chair Duckett and Tech Member Watson. Another Tech member should be on the subcommittee. Who else would like to be on this subcommittee?

- Staff will provide technical assistance to the Project Review Subcommittee, and scoring will be completed using the MPO's projection prioritization method (PPM), located in Chapter 4 of the 2040 MTP. The subcommittee will need to meet before May 9.
- Projects already found in the 2016-2021 TIP are not required to be scored.
- Staff will present the final version of the TIP with the proposed rankings on June 14.
- A 30-day public comment period on the new TIP will open on May 14, 2017.

ATTACHMENTS

- The STIP Procedures, including the Cost Estimation and QA/AC checklists (dated 11/30/16) will be emailed to members separately. This document serves as your guide to development of the new TIP.

INFORMATION ITEM

- A PowerPoint presentation will be prepared prior to the meeting for this training.
- It is recommended that the Technical Committee review the STIP Procedures and come prepared to discuss project development in the FFY2018-2023 TIP.

DISCUSSION: Ms. Holton reported that along with a PowerPoint presentation, this agenda item would refer to the STIP Procedures memo and checklists (dated 11/30/16) that were e-mailed to the Technical Committee members along with the meeting agenda.

Ms. Holton reported that any project going into the TIP must be fiscally constrained. Mr. Garcia and Ms. Holton met with the local entities to discuss and explain how to identify the type of local funding being identified for projects. Since the City of Aztec had already been through this process with the East Arterial Route in TIP Amendment #5, Staff did not meet with Mr. Watson regarding this issue.

The call for projects for the new FFY2018-2023 TIP was issued on February 27, 2017. In order to rank and prioritize new projects to the TIP, a review subcommittee needs to be formed that should include two Technical Committee members and one Policy Committee member. Staff will sit in on the review discussion to provide needed technical assistance. Previously, Councilor Nate Duckett and Mr. Watson participated on this committee and Ms. Holton asked if there was another Technical Committee member willing to assist in this process. Ms. Westerling said that David Sypher had expressed interested in participating on this subcommittee. Mr. Watson said he would again participate and Ms. Holton will check with Councilor Duckett on his participation.

Ms. Holton reminded the members that projects already on the current TIP will not go through the review process and this scoring/review process is detailed in the 2040 MTP. This review will need to be scheduled soon in order to meet the deadline for the 15-day noticing requirement in time for the May Policy Committee meeting.

The 30-day required advertising will open on May 14 with the new FFY2018-2023 TIP along with proposed rankings will come before the Technical Committee at their meeting on June 14.

Ms. Holton presented a PowerPoint to highlight some of the critical information provided in the NMDOT STIP Procedures document approved by FHWA on November 30, 2016. This document must be used by all entities when developing their new TIP projects.

- The STIP is the state's transportation capital improvement program;
- Projects must be on the TIP before they can be incorporated into the STIP;
- Similar to an entity's CIP where projects and funding are identified;
- Regionally Significant means a transportation project that is on a facility that serves regional transportation needs and would normally be included in the modeling of the metropolitan area's transportation network;
 - o Derrick Garcia is responsible for the modeling of the MPO;
- Projects required to be in the STIP:
 - o All capital and non-capital transportation projects that are proposed for funding under Title 23 and the Federal Transit Act
 - o All regionally significant transportation projects requiring an action by the FHWA or the FTA;
- Projects that are in the STIP:
 - o Must be consistent with the Statewide and Metropolitan Long-Range Transportation Plans;
 - All projects being proposed for the TIP must be in the MPO's 2040 MTP;
 - An amendment to the MTP is being developed for the May committee meetings to incorporate transit performance measures;
 - Any changes to the 2040 MTP must be sent to Ms. Holton by April 21;
 - o All metropolitan major, FTA New Starts, and regionally significant projects must come from metropolitan transportation plans (MTPs);
- Projects not required to be in the STIP:
 - o State/locally funded projects that are not deemed regionally significant;
 - o Also safety projects, some PL projects funded under 23 USC 104(f), 49 USC 5305(d), and State Planning & Research (SPR) projects except for those funded with NHS, STP or MA funds that the state and MPO agree should be in the TIP and STIP
 - o Emergency relief projects;
 - o National planning and research projects;
 - o Project management oversight projects.
- The TIP is a list of funded and regionally significant transportation projects covering a minimum of four years;
 - o It is developed and formally adopted by the MPO as part of the metropolitan transportation planning process, consistent with the MTP, and required for projects to be eligible for funding under Title 23 USC and Title 49 USC;
 - o It is financially constrained to assure project costs do not exceed available estimated revenues;
 - o The development of the TIP shall be compatible with the STIP development process per 23 CFR 450.324(a).

- Fiscal constraint means that the MTP, TIP and STIP include sufficient financial information for demonstrating that projects in the MTP, TIP and STIP can be implemented using committed, available, or reasonably available revenue sources, with reasonable assurance that the federally supported transportation system is being adequately operating and maintained;
 - o For the TIP and STIP, fiscal constraint applies to each program year.
- For the new FFY2018-2023 TIP, NMDOT has implemented a Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) process for new projects proposed.
 - o Eight-page call for project form provided in March and two new forms, QA/QC and Cost Estimation, that the entities initiate and then are finalized by the District;
 - Is project regionally significant?
 - Does project require an action by FHWA or FTA?

Mr. Fillerup asked if there was time in the process for all of this to happen. Mr. Watson raised concern over NMDOT potentially not doing their part of the process. Ms. Holton agreed that this was an additional layer of scrutiny and NMDOT must also meet the requirements of fiscal constraint required by FHWA. She recommended that all projects be ready to proceed. TIP Amendment #6 is addressing the removal of some projects where the local funding is not clearly identified. Ms. Kozub stated that after the TIP process is completed, these forms would be completed for new projects being proposed for federal funding, but may not be required for every single project being ranked. Once project funding has been identified, then the forms would be required. Additionally, if the project is being put in the planning years of the TIP, the form is also not required. She suggested that the entities would be working closely with the District in completing the forms.

Mr. Brasher asked about what the District would be getting from the entity. Mr. Fillerup responded that the entity would be completing as much of the form as possible before sending it onto the District. Ms. Kozub added that the form would be filled out when the federal funding is identified. This process is being required by FHWA to address some weaknesses in the STIP and to improve the overall STIP process. Ms. Holton said the MPO is asking that this form be included with any new TIP project submittal. The MPO will submit the forms to District 5 and let NMDOT Planning know they have been submitted. Ms. Holton said Staff could provide the forms in an editable PDF format.

Mr. Garcia asked if District 5 had an internal procedure regarding this document and how it was to be used. Mr. Brasher said they do have a copy of the form but, since it is a new process, there had not been any previous discussions about it.

Ms. Westerling asked if the entity would know who to coordinate with at the District. Mr. Brasher said he would be able to provide that information. Ms. C. Lopez asked if the entities could not coordinate with District 5 first and then be submitted to the MPO. Ms. Holton said that some of these questions will still need to be coordinated with Rebecca Maes and, as more information becomes available, will be forwarded to the Technical Committee members.

Ms. Kozub commented that the forms were developed for internal use by NMDOT and some of the language may not be consistent for TLP/A projects. She requested some additional information from Ms. Maes on how the QA/QC and Cost Estimation processes will apply to TLP/A projects.

Ms. Holton reiterated that these forms will be required for any new projects that are federally funded to be included on the TIP. The outstanding questions will be vetted through Ms. Maes for clarification. Ms. Holton said that the STIP procedures document is being updated again and is expected to be completed by October 1. At the MPO Quarterly it was mentioned again that NMDOT would be looking closely at the documentation for local match funding such as a copy of the entity's CIP showing funds have been earmarked or a council/commission resolution. Going forward these forms will be part of the required process to receive funding. Mr. Watson asked if more training would be made available to the committee when the new document is finalized. Ms. Holton replied that more training will be forthcoming and, if desired, Staff could provide one-on-one training. She added that the document will likely be a work in progress with continuing updates. As more information is received, Staff will present that to the Technical Committee.

6. STATUS OF TIP PROJECTS

Subject: Status of TIP Projects
Prepared by: Derrick Garcia, MPO Associate Planner
Date: March 30, 2017

BACKGROUND	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The STIP Protocols, finalized in early 2014, indicate that each MPO shall develop a process to monitor the progress and status of each project in the first two years of the TIP. These monthly reviews help correct inconsistencies in the TIP, STIP, the MPO's MTP, Agreement Request Forms (ARFs), etc. ▪ The latest TIP Amendment cycle began in late February 2017. 	

TRACKING INFORMATION (2016-2021 TIP)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Local Agreement Status (ARF) ▪ ROW Certification ▪ Design Completion 30 - 60 - 90% ▪ Environmental Certification ▪ Utilities Certification ▪ Railroad Certification ▪ Archeology Certification 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ITS/Sys ENG Certification ▪ Public Involvement Certification

CURRENT WORK	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Top Regional Priority Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ East Arterial Route Phase II ○ Kirtland School Walk Path System ▪ Surface Transportation Program Funds (STP) - funds can be used to repair structurally deficient bridges. ▪ Projects being specified in the 2040 MTP and added to the TIP require scoring committee review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ One TC member (Bill Watson), one PC member (to be determined) and MPO Staff 	

DISCUSSION ITEM

- This is a discussion item only. Committee members will have an opportunity to provide feedback regarding TIP project status and details.

DISCUSSION: Mr. Garcia asked the Technical Committee members for their project updates:

NMDOT

Mr. Brasher reported on some proposed changes to Phases V and VI of US 64 (F100112 and F100113) and the combining of these final two phases of the project. Mr. Garcia asked if the changes to F100112 were in addition to the administrative modification made to the project last week for move some funds. Mr. Brasher indicated that it was. Mr. Garcia asked that a TIP change request form be submitted for this project to get it included into TIP Amendment #6 that will be reviewed at the May meeting.

NM 173 (F100170) – NMDOT was not able to get the anticipated HSIP funding for this project so it will be pushed out into the planning year of 2022.

City of Aztec

Aztec East Arterial Route – Mr. Watson reported that the 90% plan review was not completed. NMDOT is insisting on six months of involvement to get the right-of-way from BLM and the state land office. The City of Aztec believes this is unnecessary, but there continues to be tremendous resistance to completing this project. At this time, the City of Aztec is not confident that this project will be constructed. There are two agency property owners and one private property owner. The City of Aztec will acquire this property after receiving approval to proceed from BLM and the state land office. With the resistance from NMDOT and resulting time restraints imposed for PS&E deadlines and the certifications makes completing the project hopeful at best.

City of Bloomfield

Vereda de Rio San Juan River Trail – Mr. Thomas reported that the two phases of this project will be deleted from the TIP.

The City of Bloomfield wants to add the East Blanco Bridge onto the TIP. Staff has received the required information for this application but will now need Mr. Thomas to complete the two additional forms discussed earlier.

Red Apple Transit

Mr. Montoya said Red Apple Transit would be deleting their project for bus route accessibility.

San Juan County

Mr. Fillerup reported that the Kirtland Walk Path environmental documents have been provided to NMDOT and San Juan County is working toward the 30% design of the project. Meetings for the environmental review will be scheduled shortly.

There were no new updates to the project on CR 3900.

Glade Run Recreation Area – this project is currently in TIP Amendment #6 to move the years. If the project is awarded RTP funds, the project would then be amended and updated again.

City of Farmington

Ms. Westerling reported that the 20th Street Sidewalk project kicked off on April 10 and is expected to be completed by the middle of June. Re-paving of 20th Street will be done following completion of the sidewalks.

The right-of-way certification for Phase I of Foothills has been submitted. The City of Farmington will be asking for the PS&E meeting soon in order to go out to bid.

Pinon Hills Boulevard – Phases I and II will be funded with bond money.

Ms. C. Lopez reported that a consultant has been hired to begin the final design of Phase I of the complete streets project for downtown Main Street. The design funding is currently all local funds with some possible CDBG funding in the later years of the project.

7. REPORTS FROM NMDOT

District 5 – Paul Brasher

District 5 received a request to consider creating a safety corridor along NM 516 heading west from Oliver Street. The request highlighted the number of crashes and vehicles speeding. Mr. Brasher said he was not aware of a higher number of crashes above average along this section. He noted that a “safety corridor” designation becomes more of an enforcement issue and thought that the signs disappear into the landscape over time. Mr. Brasher asked if Staff could provide him with the crash data for NM 516 and US 64.

Mr. Watson added that there are significant hazards on NM 516 regarding access control and he recommended the traffic division should review the access cuts and rear end crashes as drivers slow to turn left across the median. This is especially hazardous in the area of the swap meet property particularly on the weekends. Mr. Watson thought a road diet along NM 516 would make it much safer than it is now.

Mr. Fillerup asked what the improvements were in a safety corridor. Mr. Brasher said it was more of a designation and there were really no improvements made other than the ability of law enforcement to cite for additional violations.

Planning Division – Rosa Kozub

Ms. Kozub reported that Jessica Griffin, NMDOT Planning Division Bureau Chief, estimates it will be several years before there will be an HSIP call for projects as the program is fully funded until 2019. She added that an MPO safety plan will not be required. NMDOT will work with the MPOs to identify hot spot crash areas that would make those areas priorities for funding.

Ms. Kozub reminded all the Technical Committee members of the requirement to have a Title VI and ADA plan in place within each entity. She said that any questions, or if a plan is in progress and a milestone schedule is needed, should be directed to Sally

Reeves. The plans or the milestone schedule need to be completed and submitted to NMDOT by April 30 to keep from impacting their federal funding even though the plan may not be approved by NMDOT by April 30. Mr. Fillerup asked if any of the local entities were outstanding on this item. Mr. Watson said that Steven Saavedra with the City of Aztec is working to update their transition plan. Staff had e-mailed the milestone schedule and a boilerplate to all Technical Committee members last week.

Mr. Fillerup recommended providing the old transition plan and what the city had intended to do when the requirement was first implemented. Ms. Kozub concurred and recommended touching base with Ms. Reeves so she can assess what is still needed and provide any updates. Mr. Fillerup said the County has an ADA transition plan in process and they have communicated this with Ms. Reeves. Mr. Thomas reported that the City of Bloomfield has not yet completed their transition plan, but the compliance schedule has been submitted and approved.

Ms. Kozub reported that NMDOT is still assessing the TAP/RTP project applications. They expect to announce which projects were awarded funding for FFY2018 and 2019. The announcement has been delayed to ensure all entities will be in compliance with the ADA and Title VI requirements prior to receiving any award.

NMDOT is assessing how to handle distribution of CMAQ-Flex funds. Up until FFY2016, the mid-region area was in non-attainment and was receiving a direct allocation of funding for maintenance. They have now reached attainment for air quality which means the funds the mid-region area had been receiving for maintenance can now be distributed and used elsewhere in the state. Ms. Kozub stated that the Planning Division is working with UNM to see what other states are doing with a flexible pot of money and determining best practices. As more information becomes available, Ms. Kozub will update the committee.

8. COMMITTEE MEMBER DISCUSSION ITEMS

Subject:	Committee Member Discussion Items
Date:	March 28, 2017

DISCUSSION ITEMS

No discussion items were proposed.

Mr. Fillerup asked about discussion during the March meeting with NMDOT and a report requested to be made by Mr. Brasher on District 5 programmed funds and sources, and the decision-making process used by the District. He wondered if that report could be presented at the May meeting. What the committee desired is a summary/ highlight of the most relevant sections of the FHWA document to explain the funding available to a local entity, the process/steps for applying, how decisions are made, funding of local projects, and clarification on the issues faced by District 5. Mr. Brasher said he could have that presentation ready for the May 10, 2017 Technical Committee meeting and the Technical Committee agreed to have it on the May agenda.

9. INFORMATION ITEMS

Subject:	Information Items
Prepared by:	Mary Holton, MPO Officer & Derrick Garcia, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	April 3, 2017

INFORMATION ITEMS

- a. **MPO Quarterly Meeting.** Ms. Holton, Mr. Garcia, and Mr. Montoya attended the MPO Quarterly meeting in Albuquerque on April 5 and 6.
- b. **FHWA & NHTSA's State Safety Target Setting Coordination Workshop.** Ms. Holton and Mr. Garcia attended this workshop covering FHWA's and NHTSA's safety performance management measures required for carrying out the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and the Highway Safety Plan (HSP).
- c. **Update on the Corrective Action Plan (CAP).** The responses to the CAP are attached.
- d. **NMDOT Quality Assurance Review.** This review was held with Ms. Rosa Kozub of NMDOT Planning Division on April 11, 2017.

DISCUSSION: a. Some of the items discussed at the MPO Quarterly included: CMAQ, TAP and HSIP, an update from FHWA, a slight anticipated reduction in the MPO PL budget for FFY2018, developing a training program for committee members, updating of the state's rail plan (more information will follow), and a new traffic monitoring system at the state.

b. The target setting workshop was informative. Once the state establishes their safety targets, a presentation later this summer will be provided to the Technical and Policy Committees on what will be required from the MPO. Mr. Montoya also attended the MPO Quarterly and commented on discussion on monitoring the state of good repair for transit. He is working to develop a plan for performance management measures for maintaining the buses in good repair.

As an interested stakeholder, Mr. Fillerup recommended that Four Corners Economic Development (4CED) be made aware of the state's rail plan update. Mr. Garcia said that he had spoken with Bill Craven of New Mexico's State Transit & Rail Division at the MPO Quarterly about 4CED's interest in rail service in San Juan County.

c. Ms. Holton reported on the final response to NMDOT regarding the Corrective Action Plan (CAP). At the QAR meeting with Ms. Kozub yesterday, she informed Staff that NMDOT was satisfied with the corrective measures and responses taken to date. Some additional time was requested by Staff to prepare a written process for the TIP (Item

#4 on the CAP). Mr. Garcia is working to draft that document and it will be posted on the website as soon as possible.

d. Ms. Kozub conducted the annual Quality Assurance Review (QAR) evaluation on the MPO on May 11. A written report from Ms. Kozub will be forthcoming. Ms. Kozub will be acting as the MPO liaison until a full-time replacement is hired.

10. BUSINESS FROM THE CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS AND STAFF

Mr. Fillerup asked if the bridge information discussed at the February Technical Committee meeting would be made available on the MPO website. Ms. Holton said this information would be made available.

Mr. Thomas reported that there is a 30% project design review on April 14 on the East Blanco bridge project.

There was no additional business from the Chairman, Members and Staff.


11. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY ISSUES NOT ON THE AGENDA

Mr. Taylor Clem, the new Park Planner for the City of Farmington, introduced himself to the Technical Committee members.

There was no additional business from the Floor.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Westerling moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Watson seconded the motion. Mr. Fillerup adjourned the meeting at 11:45 a.m.



Fran Fillerup, Chair



June Markle, Administrative Assistant