

MINUTES
FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING
November 7, 2018

Technical Members Present: Steven Saavedra, City of Aztec
Jason Thomas, City of Bloomfield
Virginia King, City of Farmington
Helen Landaverde (Alt), City of Farmington
Dan Flack, Town of Kirtland
Andrew Montoya, Red Apple Transit
Stephen Lopez, NMDOT District 5
Fran Fillerup, San Juan County
Nick Porell, San Juan County

Technical Members Absent: David Quintana, NMDOT District 5

Staff Present: Jeff Kiely, Interim MPO Officer
June Markle, MPO Administrative Assistant

Staff Absent: None

Others Present: Joseph Moriarty, Planning Liaison, NMDOT
Brad Fisher, Northwest Design Center, NMDOT
Nancy Lauro, Russell Planning & Engineering (via
phone)
Larry Hathaway, San Juan County

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Nick Porell called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m.

2. APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE OCTOBER 10, 2018 TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING

Mr. Thomas moved to approve the minutes from the October 10, 2018 Technical Committee meeting. Mr. Saavedra seconded the motion. The motion to approve the minutes passed unanimously.

3. REPORT ON THE FMPO BICYCLE & PEDESTRIAN PLAN

Subject:	Report on the FMPO Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan Update
Date:	November 7, 2018

MONTHLY REPORT

Ms. Nancy Dosedall Lauro, AICP and Senior Planner with Russell Planning & Engineering will call-in monthly with a report on the FMPO Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan Update.

Ms. Lauro provide some preliminary draft chapters that were shared with the Technical Committee members on October 26, 2018:

- FMPO Masterplan
- Grant Chapter
- Evaluation Checklist
- Infrastructure Priority List
- Policies & Facilities Chapter
- Background History Chapter

Technical Committee members were asked to review these chapter drafts and provide comments to Ms. Lauro before November 1

DISCUSSION: Ms. Lauro reported that she had sent out several draft chapters for review by the Technical Committee. She received comments back on the history and funding chapters, revised those chapters, and sent them back to Mary Holton for re-distribution to the committee.

Ms. Laura is still needing input from several of the members on the prioritized improvements for their entity so she can finalize the document. She said she would contact Mr. Fillerup and Mr. Saavedra and see about setting up meetings with them in the next week or so.

Mr. Fillerup commented that he had reviewed the prioritized lists and sent her his comments several weeks ago. He added that some of the items listed on the San Juan County tab actually related to NMDOT facilities. He suggested that NMDOT review the list and indicate if those are projects they are considering and are part of the state's bike plan. Mr. Fillerup recommended that maybe the NMDOT projects be listed separately or be noted that they are on NMDOT facilities. In this way, the Plan would communicate NMDOT's stance on these projects (i.e.: Quad City project involves US 64, NM 516, and US 550). Mr. Fillerup stated that it was important to have comments from NMDOT on project prioritization.

Mr. Moriarty stated that the Planning Division was confused on whether they should comment on this since the call for comments went to the Technical Committee. They did comment on the funding. Ms. Lauro encouraged NMDOT to offer their comments on the project and noted that Ms. Glendenning had provided comments on the funding chapter. Ms. Lauro said she would contact Mr. Moriarty and Ms. Glendenning to get their comments on project prioritization.

Mr. Fillerup said that NMDOT District 5 representatives sit on the Technical Committee, but they were not in attendance at today's meeting to offer their comments. Since District 5 has the maintenance responsibility for the facilities in the FMPO area, Mr. Fillerup thought that having their input on project prioritization would be important.

Ms. Lauro stated that she would be contacting Mr. Fillerup, Mr. Saavedra, and Mr. Moriarty in the next week to set up meetings to finalize the infrastructure priority list. Mr. Kiely asked Ms. Lauro to include him on all communications so the FMPO can informed and assist when and where needed.

4. NATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT MEASURES FOR ASSESSING PAVEMENT CONDITION & BRIDGE CONDITION (PM 2)

Subject:	National Performance Management Measures for Assessing Pavement Condition and Bridge Condition (PM 2)
Prepared by:	MPO Staff
Date:	November 7, 2018

BACKGROUND

- 23 CFR §490 Subpart C - *National Performance Management Measures for Assessing Pavement Condition* and 23 CFR §490 Subpart D - *National Performance Management measures for Assessing Bridge Condition (see attached)*.
- The FHWA requires that MPOs establish four-year targets for six (6) performance measures. Those six performance measures to be considered are:
 - 1) Percentage of interstate pavements on the NHS in good condition;
 - 2) Percentage of interstate pavements on the NHS in poor condition;
 - 3) Percentage of non-interstate pavements on the NHS in good condition;
 - 4) Percentage of non-interstate pavements on the NHS in poor condition;
 - 5) Percentage of bridges on the NHS in good condition; and
 - 6) Percentage of bridges on the NHS in poor condition.
- MPOs may: Agree to support State target OR establish their own targets. The FMPO needed to develop some of its own targets for PM 2 (see attached). After a work session with NMDOT staff and careful review of NMDOT's statistics, Technical Committee recommends:
 - BRIDGES on National Highway System (NHS) - Adopt a different target for FMPO:
 - 10% target for Bridges in Good condition [vs. the State at 36.0% (2019) and 30.0% (2021)]
 - 2.5% target for Bridges in Poor condition [vs. State at 3.3% (2019) and 2.5% (2021)]
 - PAVEMENT on Non-Interstate/NHS - Adopt a slightly different target for FMPO:
 - set 34.2% target for Pavement in Good condition [vs. the State at 35.6% (2019) and 34.2% (2021)]
 - set 12.0% target for Pavement in Poor condition [vs. State at 9.0% (2019) and 12.0% (2021)]
- Reporting is for a four-year period although revisions to the targets can be made after two years.

CURRENT ISSUES

- FMPO Policy Committee action is due no later than November 20, 2018

ATTACHMENTS

- FMPO four-year (2021) Performance Management (PM 2) Targets.
- NMDOT's PM 2 Targets Memo dated 5/18/18.
- Proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-5 regarding PM 2 Targets.

ACTION ITEM

- Hold a public hearing on the PM 2 Performance Measure Targets and the proposed PC Resolution 2018-5.
- Consider recommending approval of the proposed FMPO PM 2 Performance Measure Targets and proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-5 to the Policy Committee.

APPLICABLE CITATIONS

Requirement for MPOs to establish performance targets for Federal-aid highway measures and public transportation established by USDOT.

- 23 USC 134(h)(2)
- 49 USC 5303(h)(2)
- 49 USC 5304(d)(2)

Requirements to include discussion in the metropolitan and statewide improvement program as to how the planned program will achieve State/MPO targets:

- 23 USC 134(j)(2)(D)
- 23 USC 135(g)(4)
- 49 USC 5303(j)(2)(D)
- 49 USC 5304(g)(4)

DISCUSSION: Mr. Kiely reported that the Technical Committee has been involved in the discussions on adopting the state's targets for Performance Measure (PM) 2 or setting our own targets. The proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-5 shows the proposed FMPO targets to be considered for recommendation. Those are:

Performance Measure	4 Year (2021) Targets Required
PM 2 - Bridge	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percentage of NHS bridges classified as in good condition: 10.0%.• Percentage of NHS bridges classified as in poor condition: 2.5%.
PM 2 - Pavement	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percentage of pavement on the Interstate System in good condition: <i>Not Applicable as FMPO MPA has no interstate.</i>

- Percentage of pavement on the non-Interstate NHS in good condition: 34.2%.
- Percentage of pavement on the Interstate System in poor condition: *Not applicable as the FMPO MPA has no interstate.*
- Percentage of pavement on the non-Interstate NHS in poor condition: 12.0%.

Mr. Fillerup noted that in the minutes from the last Technical Committee meeting, bridges in the FMPO area will tend toward a “fair” rating and he wondered if NMDOT was planning to spend money on the bridges in this area. Mr. Kiely acknowledged that this funding issue had been discussed during the September meeting to develop the FMPO targets for PM 2 with Tammy Haas of NMDOT.

Mr. Porell opened the public hearing. There were no comments received from the public. Mr. Porell closed the public hearing.

ACTION: Mr. Thomas moved to recommend approval of the proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-5 regarding adoption of the FMPO’s PM 2 Performance Measure Targets to the Policy Committee. Mr. Saavedra seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously.

5. FFY2019 TARGETS FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE/FREIGHT/CMAQ (PM3)

Subject:	FFY2019 Targets for System Performance/Freight/CMAQ (PM 3)
Prepared by:	MPO Staff
Date:	November 7, 2018

BACKGROUND

- 23 CFR §490 - 2019 Targets for System Performance/Freight/CMAQ (PM 3) (*see attached*).
- The FHWA requires that MPOs establish PM 3 Measures as follows: 1) Two measures to assess system performance: a. Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate system that are reliable; b. Percentage of person-miles traveled on the non-interstate National Highway System (NHS) that are reliable; 2) One measure to assess freight movement: a. Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) index; 3) Three measures to assess the CMAQ Program: a. Annual hours of peak-hour excessive delay per capita - NM is not required to set a target for this measure; b. Percent on non-single occupancy vehicle (SOV) travel - NM is not required to set a target for this measure; c. On-road mobile source emissions reductions - NM is in non-attainment for Particulate Matter (PM) 10 in one area covered by the El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization (EPMPO).

- MPOs may agree to support State target OR establish their own targets. The FMPO proposes to adopt the NMDOT targets for PM 3.

CURRENT ISSUES

- FMPO Policy Committee action is due no later than November 20, 2018

ATTACHMENTS

- NMDOT's Performance Measure (PM) Target Report for PM 3 for FFY2019
- Proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-6 regarding PM 3 Targets.

ACTION ITEM

- Hold a public hearing on the PM 3 Performance Measure Targets and the proposed PC Resolution 2018-6.
- Consider recommending approval of the PM 3 Performance Measure Targets and proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-6 to the Policy Committee.

APPLICABLE CITATIONS

Requirement for MPOs to establish performance targets for Federal-aid highway measures and public transportation established by USDOT.

- 23 USC 134(h)(2)
- 49 USC 5303(h)(2)
- 49 USC 5304(d)(2)

Requirements to include discussion in the metropolitan and statewide improvement program as to how the planned program will achieve State/MPO targets:

- 23 USC 134(j)(2)(D)
- 23 USC 135(g)(4)
- 49 USC 5303(j)(2)(D)
- 49 USC 5304(g)(4)

DISCUSSION: Mr. Kiely reported that per Tammy Haas, approval of Performance Measure (PM 3) Targets by the Policy Committee was required by November 20, 2018.

FHWA requires that Performance Measure (PM) 3 targets be established by MPOs as follows and FMPO recommend adopting NMDOT's PM 3 targets:

1. Two measures to assess system performance:
 - a. Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate system that are reliable
 - b. Percentage of person-miles traveled on the non-interstate National Highway System (NHS) that are reliable
2. One measure to assess freight movement:
 - a. Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) index
3. Three measures to assess the CMAQ Program:
 - a. Annual hours of peak-hour excessive delay per capita - NM is not required to set a target for this measure;
 - b. Percent on non-single occupancy vehicle (SOV) travel - NM is not

- required to set a target for this measure;
- c. On-road mobile source emissions reductions - NM is in non-attainment for Particulate Matter (PM) 10 in one area covered by the El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization (EPMPO).

Mr. Porell commented that PM 3 seemed largely tied to congestion issues. Living in a rural area as we do, congestion is less of an issue. Mr. Kiely added that there was much discussion of PM 3 at the MPO Quarterly and the MPO/RTPO Joint Meeting in October. It was acknowledged at these meetings that PM 3 targets were a much bigger issue for Albuquerque, but all the MPOs need to weigh in on setting targets so NMDOT can move forward.

Mr. Saavedra wondered if there was any ongoing discussion to looking at issues impacting the more rural areas of the state. Mr. Kiely responded that the question was raised at both of these quarterly meetings, but that currently the key issue is around congestion in the primary affected corridors. He thought the MPOs should take the lead in bringing forward congestion factors in the rural areas. According to Ms. Haas, it is up to the MPOs to be as proactive with these targets as desired and especially if it is determined that there are unique local congestion issues. Mr. Kiely added that the numbers presented in NMDOT's Performance Measure (PM) Target Report - PM 3 related to the state as a whole and the target is a state-wide measure.

Mr. Fillerup suggested that, perhaps, NMODT's freight/congestion planner could provide more information on this for future consideration. Such as what is the influence in our area and what would measures in our area look like. Mr. Kiely thought this was a good idea and did not think it was necessary for the state to validate any numbers that the FMPO might generate.

Mr. Porell opened the public hearing. No comments were received. Mr. Porell closed the public hearing.

ACTION: Mr. Fillerup moved to recommend approval of proposed Policy Committee Resolution 2018-6 regarding adoption of NMDOT's PM 3 Performance Measure Targets. Mr. Thomas seconded the motion. The motion was passed unanimously.

6. STATUS OF TIP PROJECTS

Subject:	Status of TIP Projects
Prepared by:	MPO Staff
Date:	November 7, 2018

BACKGROUND

- The STIP Protocols, finalized in early 2014, require that each MPO shall develop a process to monitor the progress and status of each project in the first two years of the TIP. These monthly reviews help correct inconsistencies in the TIP, STIP, the MPO's MTP, Agreement Request Forms (ARFs), etc.

TRACKING INFORMATION (2018-2023 TIP)	
Local Agreement Status (ARF) ROW Certification Design Completion 30 - 60 - 90% Environmental Certification Utilities Certification Railroad Certification Archeology Certification	ITS/Sys ENG Certification Public Involvement Certification

PROJECTS CURRENTLY ON FMPO TIP
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>Aztec</u> F100091 - East Aztec Arterial Phase II ▪ <u>Bloomfield</u> F100300 - East Blanco Bridge ▪ <u>Farmington</u> F100099 - Foothills Drive Enhancement Phase II F100100 - East Pinon Hills Blvd Extension Phase I F100101 - East Pinon Hills Blvd Extension Phase II F100132 - 20th Street Phase III F100221 - Anesi Trail and Pedestrian Bridge TF00001 - Red Apple Transit ▪ <u>San Juan County</u> F100021 - East Pinon Hills Blvd Extension Phase III F100240 - Glade Run Recreation Area Trails F100270 - Kirtland Schools Walk Path System F100290 - CR 5500 Bridge Replacement ▪ <u>NMDOT</u> F100112 - US64 Phase V F100113 - US64 Phase VI

CURRENT WORK
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Top Regional Priority Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ East Arterial Route Phase II- ○ Pinon Hills Boulevard Bridge Phases I & II ○ Kirtland School Walk Path System ▪ Surface Transportation Program Funds (STP) - funds can be used to repair structurally deficient bridges. ▪ Integration of all FMPO TIP projects on to new eSTIP website. ▪ Public eSTIP website can be viewed at https://estip.dot.state.nm.us/

INFORMATION ITEM
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This is an information item only. Committee members will have an opportunity to provide feedback regarding TIP project status and details.

DISCUSSION: Mr. Kiely asked the Technical Committee members for their project updates:

City of Farmington - Virginia King

Work on the Foothills Drive Enhancement project continues with the acquisition phase. The appraisal is back and the project is moving forward.

No report on East Pinon Hills Boulevard Phases I and II.

The 30% review was completed on the 20th Street Phase III project.

City of Aztec - Steven Saavedra

No updates on the Aztec East Arterial project.

San Juan County - Fran Fillerup

Work on the East Pinon Hills Boulevard Phase III project is still in right-of-way remapping and confirming ownership with title reports.

There is a kick-off meeting next week for the design/build contract for Glade Run Recreation Area Trails project.

Kirtland Schools Walk Path is under construction.

A 30% design review meeting is planned for NMDOT on the CR 5500 bridge replacement.

City of Bloomfield - Jason Thomas

Work continued with NMDOT with a third review of the right-of-way mapping. Appraisals will hopefully begin in December.

NMDOT

No representatives from District 5 had arrived yet for the meeting.

7. REPORTS FROM NMDOT

Planning Bureau - Joseph Moriarty

Mr. Moriarty thanked the FMPO Staff for submitting their 4th Quarter FFY2018 report and billing package early.

The FFY2018 Annual Performance & Expenditure Report (APER) is due to Mr. Moriarty by November 15 with the final version due on November 30, 2018.

NMDOT's public involvement plan was released on October 29 with a draft available on NMDOT's website. There is currently a 45-day public comment period. Written comments may be sent to Rosa Kozub or Mr. Moriarty.

A Spanish translation of the Title VI policy statement is available from NMDOT. NMDOT recommends that MPOs include the Spanish translation in their own Title VI updates if they serve a large Spanish or limited English speaking population in their planning area.

District 5 - Stephen Lopez

Mr. Lopez's arrival was delayed, but following discussion of Agenda Item #9- Information Items, he provided his update:

Phases V and VI of the US 64 project were on winter suspension. Construction is not expected to resume until April and will involve two construction seasons in order to complete the final two phases.

Mr. Lopez reported that FHWA regulations on closing out of projects has become more stringent. District 5 will now be requiring the 90 days after substantial project completion, all documents must be provided to NMDOT. When a 60% audit is conducted, District 5 will be checking to make sure everything is in order according to the T/LPA Handbook in order to assist in expediting the process at closeout. The process is in place so Districts are not liable for compensation to the local governments should FHWA decide to pull the funding due to no activity on the project. The City of Aztec still needs to provide some information on Phase I of the Aztec East Arterial before closeout can be completed. Additionally, NMDOT is negotiating with the City of Farmington to resolve issues with the East Pinon Hills Boulevard Extension since this project has been in progress for ten years or more with no activity.

Mr. Porell asked about the impact of this 90-day closeout requirement on San Juan County's project F100210 (Intersection of CR 350 and CR 390). The project is complete, but the contractor subsequently went bankrupt right after completion. The project is now tied up in litigation with the surety company and subcontractors. Mr. Lopez said consideration would be given in instances where the reason(s) for the delays are documented and verified.

Mr. Thomas repeated his earlier request for NMDOT assistance with clearing out the silt from the NMDOT bridge on US 64 just east of the intersection with US 55. He restated that the neighborhood downstream of the bridge is concerned about the possibility of flooding since the bridge is mostly silted in. Mr. Lopez said he would take a look at it and see what was needed.

8. COMMITTEE MEMBER DISCUSSION ITEMS

Subject:	Committee Member Discussion Items
Date:	November 7, 2018

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Mr. Thomas asked if any members had submitted build grant applications during the recent cycle and if they had received a response. Mr. Fillerup said the County had not yet received a response. Ms. King stated that Nica Westerling with the City of Farmington was handling their application so she had no information to provide.

Mr. Thomas stated that the City of Bloomfield has been requesting assistance from NMODT in getting a bridge on US 64 (first bridge east of intersection with US 550)

cleaned out for quite some time. The bridge has a 10-foot clearance and it is currently silted in up to six feet. Residents in the area have experienced flooding before so are concerned with the current level of silting.

9. INFORMATION ITEMS

Subject:	Information Items
Prepared by:	MPO Staff
Date:	November 7, 2018

INFORMATION ITEMS

- a. **Report on FFY2020 PLUS CMAQ Non-Mandatory Program - Next Steps**
PFFs for two projects were discussed at a CMAQ Feasibility Review Meeting held at the MPO Office on October 18. San Juan County's Diesel Replacement project was deemed feasible. The next steps for this projects are:
- Monday, December 3, 2018 (by 5:00 p.m.) is the deadline for the completed application form and required materials (including Resolution of Sponsorship) as one PDF to MPO Staff;
 - Thursday, December 27, 2018 is the deadline for the FMPO Staff to submit the completed CMAQ project applications to NMDOT.
- b. **Report on FFY2020-21 Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) & Recreation Trails Program (RTP).** Five TAP and RTP projects provided their project application forms and required materials (including the Resolution of Sponsorship) to MPO Staff by the October 26, 2018 deadline:
- City of Farmington
Foothills Drive Enhancement Phase II
Foothills Drive Pedestrian and Traffic Calming Improvements
 - San Juan County
Kirtland Schools Path Extension
Glade Run Recreation Area (GRR) Extension
 - Farmington Municipal School District
Safe Routes to Schools Program

These projects will be submitted to the NMDOT TAP/RTP Coordinator by the November 30, 2018 (5:00 p.m.) deadline.

- c. **2019 Committee Meeting Schedule.** Review and consider the 2019 committee meeting schedule (see attached). A vote and approval of Technical Committee Resolution 2018-1 approving the 2019 meeting schedule will be sought at the December 12 meeting.
- d. **TIP Amendment #5.** No project change requests were submitted for the Amendment #5 cycle.
- e. **MPO Officer.** Five potential applications are being reviewed.

DISCUSSION: Mr. Kiely thanked Mr. Fillerup for his assistance in facilitating the CMAQ feasibility review meeting held on October 18. San Juan County's diesel replacement project was deemed feasible.

There were five completed TAP/RTP applications submitted to the FMPO by the October 26, 2018 deadline and they will be forwarded to NMDOT by November 30:

- City of Farmington
Foothills Drive Enhancement Phase II
Foothills Drive Pedestrian and Traffic Calming Improvements
- San Juan County
Kirtland Schools Path Extension
Glade Run Recreation Area (GRRRA) Extension
- Farmington Municipal School District
Safe Routes to Schools Program

Mr. Kiely reported that he had received four applications for the MPO Office job vacancy. He has sent a set of questions to each of the applicants to gauge how well they are able to express their ideas and communicate their past experiences to the requirements for the MPO Office position. The responses are due back to Mr. Kiely by the end of the week so interviews can begin thereafter.

Mr. Kiely said he will be asking several Technical and Policy Committee members to participate on a team to review the applicants. He also has several applicants who have a transportation background who may be considered later on for a Planner position.

The proposed 2019 Technical Committee meeting schedule will remain as discussed at the October meeting. A vote to adopt the proposed schedule will be taken in December. There is no meeting conflict for the NMDOT planners as there is a separate planner for the Northwest RTPO.

No project change requests were submitted for the Amendment #5 TIP cycle.

The quarterly Board Meeting for the NWNMCOG will be held on November 14 at the MPO Office from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m. There will be a reception following the meeting and Mr. Kiely invited the Technical Committee members to stop by and join them. Mr. Porell asked Mr. Kiely to please send an invitation to the committee members for this reception.

10. BUSINESS FROM THE CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS AND STAFF

Mr. Fillerup wanted to point out that Agenda Item #6-Status of TIP Projects was begun to provide a venue for open discussion between the entities and NMDOT at a time when communication seemed to be lacking. The Technical Committee meeting offered an opportunity for committee members and NMDOT staff to discuss project issues that were not otherwise getting resolved.

Mr. Fillerup noted that this section has now become more perfunctory and asked if it was still a useful section for the entities and NMDOT. Has communication between the

state and the agencies improved to where this may not be needed. San Juan County has a good line of communication with District 5 now. Previously, short of having good off-line communication, the discussion was held during the Technical Committee meetings.

The Committee members discussed options:

- Include on the agenda when an agency notifies Staff that they have a project they would like to discuss or are having an issue;
- Submit the updates for the agenda in writing which would give Brad Fisher and Stephen Lopez/David Quintana time to review prior to the meeting;
- Prefer off-line communication to allow gathering of information from others that may have taken place outside of that individual;
- Prefer to hear in the public setting where others are - what are their struggles or obstacles - and if communication has broken down, the meeting is an opportunity hear updates and get feedback from NMDOT and other committee members;
- Have frequent telephone conversations to discuss projects;
- If current communications are working, then retain this agenda item as is and to allow for any additional questions that come up during the meeting;
- Keep District 5 informed of any changes as soon as possible.

There was no additional business from the Chairman, Members and Staff.

11. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY ISSUES NOT ON THE AGENDA

Larry Hathaway again brought up the speed limit sign issue along NM 516 near the turnoff to Farmington Lake. There is a 45 mph speed limit sign just prior to the turnoff to the lake and approximately 30' later, the speed limit goes back up to 55 mph. Mr. Lopez asked Mr. Hathaway to send him an email and he will forward it on to NMDOT's traffic engineer.

There was no additional comment on any issues not on the agenda.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Thomas moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Saavedra seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously and Mr. Thomas adjourned the meeting at 10:55 a.m.



Nick Porell, Technical Committee Chair



June Markle, Administrative Assistant